PROC. BIOL. SOC. WASH. 94(2), 1981, pp. 344–351

A REDESCRIPTION OF *ECHINASTER MODESTUS* PERRIER, 1881 (ASTEROIDEA: SPINULOSIDA) FROM THE EASTERN GULF OF MEXICO AND THE WEST INDIES

Richard L. Turner and David B. Campbell

Abstract.—The seastar Echinaster modestus Perrier, 1881, is redescribed and a lectotype is designated. Echinaster spp. from the west coast of Florida used in recent experimental studies are not E. modestus.

During a morphological study of seastars of the genus Echinaster from the west coast of Florida, it was necessary for us to determine the identities of two common sibling species that have been called E. spinulosus (Ferguson, 1966, 1967, 1968), E. echinophorus (Ferguson, 1970, 1974; Atwood, 1973a, 1973b, 1973c; Atwood and Simon, 1973), E. modestus (Turner, 1976; Blake, 1978, 1980; Turner and Lawrence, 1979), and Echinaster (Ferguson, 1975a, 1975b, 1976, 1980). Based on the most recent monograph of seastars of the region (Downey, 1973), both forms must be assigned to E. modestus (Ferguson, 1975a, 1976; Turner, 1976; Turner and Lawrence, 1979); but a recent note (Campbell and Turner, 1979) gave three distinct morphological and meristic differences in the skeleton of the two forms. Examination of type-specimens by us has revealed that the two forms and Downey's (1973) material do not belong to E. modestus. Perrier's (1881, 1884) brief descriptions of E. modestus might have contributed to the present confusion, and Verrill's (1915) description of Perrier's specimens was equally brief. Walenkamp's (1979) detailed description seems to be based partly on material that differs from Perrier's type-specimens. Herein we redescribe E. modestus from the type material and designate a lectotype.

> Family Echinasteridae Verrill, 1867 Genus *Echinaster* Müller and Troschel, 1840 *Echinaster modestus* Perrier, 1881 Figs. 1–2

Material.—MCZ 1080, Lectotype, 1 specimen (R = 34 mm, r = 8 mm), Blake Sta. 45 (101 fm, 25°33'N, 84°21'W).—MCZ 1119, Paralectotype, 1



Fig. 1. Echinaster modestus, MCZ 1080, Lectotype. A, Aboral view; B, Adoral view.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON



Fig. 2. Echinaster modestus, planar views of ray ossicles from midline of aboral surface (top) to the ambulacrum (bottom), near base of ray. Ossicles of primary skeleton unstippled; ossicles of secondary skeleton (except spines) stippled. Rows of ossicles, top to bottom: carinals, accessory ossicles, adradials, dorsolaterals, superomarginals, intermarginals, inferomarginals, actinolaterals, adambulacrals. Abbreviations: d, distal; p, proximal. A, MCZ 1080, Lectotype, full spination of adambulacrals 12–15 and actinolaterals shown; B, MCZ 1119, Paralectotype, only furrow spines of adambulacrals 12–17 shown.

specimen (R = 22 mm, r = 6 mm), *Blake* Sta. 157 (120 fm), Montserrat.— MCZ 4668, Paralectotype, 1 specimen (R = 26 mm, r = 8 mm), *Blake* Sta. 45 (101 fm, 25°33'N, 84°21'W).—MCZ 1081, 2 specimens (R = 9.5 mm, r = 2.7 mm; R = 8.1 mm, r = 2.9 mm), *Blake* Sta. 272 (76 fm) and 292 (56 fm), Barbados.—MCZ 1118, 1 specimen (R = 5.7 mm, r = 2.0 mm), *Blake* Sta. 276 (94 fm), Barbados.—MCZ 4001, 1 specimen (R = 56 mm, r = 11 mm), *Atlantis* Sta. 3303 (260 fm), Playa Baracoa, Cuba.

Diagnosis.—Rays 5, tapered, only slightly inflated at bases. Madreporite circular, with radiating gyri; peripheral spinules present. Aboral skeleton reticulate, with large papular areas. Adoral skeleton compact, with few papulae. Serial ossicles of rays: carinals, adradials, several rows of dorsolaterals, superomarginals, 1 short row of intermarginals, inferomarginals, 1–2

rows of actinolaterals, adambulacrals; all series except latter 2 with proximal imbrication; glassy tubercles present. Spines small, blunt, conical; generally 1 per ossicle, except for superomarginals (1-2), inferomarginals (2-4), adambulacrals (4-6), and mouth plates (6-7).

Description of type-material.—The following is based largely on MCZ 1080. Many details were confirmed in the two paralectotypes.

The rays taper uniformly to acute tips, with slight or no inflation near the bases. The smaller type-specimens are more robust (R:r, 3.7 and 3.3) than the larger (R:r, 4.3). The small, circular madreporite has a radiating system of sulci and gyri and bears 6–13 spinules on its periphery. Anal spinules number 8–14. The primary circlet has 1 (rarely 2) accessory ossicle between adjacent primary radials and interradials, but there is none between the madreporite and the primary radials of the bivium. Each primary radial bears 1–2 (usually 1) small, blunt spines. Other ossicles of the primary circlet and periproct bear 0–1 spine each.

The aboral skeleton is a loosely imbricating network. Papular areas are wider than or equal in diameter to the width of surrounding ossicles. Papulae are most abundant (3-7) between the carinals and adradials. The primary series of ossicles on the aboral surface are the carinals and adradials, each member of which bears a spine and overlaps the next proximal member (proximal imbrication). Members of the carinal series are triangular and alternate right and left of the midline; they are rarely separated by accessory ossicles. Accessory ossicles sometimes join the carinal and adradial series, which are otherwise directly joined. Members of the adradial series are triangular or quadrangular and are not separated by accessory ossicles. A few adradials have patches of glassy tubercles, but they appear eroded, perhaps from storage. The major secondary elements of the aboral skeleton are the dorsolaterals. Near the base of the ray, 3-5 dorsolaterals form a transverse series from each superomarginal to an adradial. The transverse series of dorsolaterals are joined by 1-2 longitudinal series of more superficial ossicles. A spine occurs on many members of the longitudinal series and on some of the transverse members, forming 1-2 irregularly spinous rows that extend $\frac{4}{5}$ the length of the ray.

The adoral skeleton is compactly imbricate, with few papulae. Superomarginals and inferomarginals are quadrangular ossicles with broadly rounded corners. They bear eroded patches of glassy tubercles and have proximal imbrication. The 2 marginal series are separated at the base of the ray by a few intermarginals, each of which has 0–1 spine. Intermarginals have proximal imbrication. The superomarginals define the ambitus; they bear 1– 2 spines and are about as wide as they are long. The inferomarginals bear 2–4 spines and are wider than they are long. Each adambulacral bears a transverse row of 4–6 spines: 1 (rarely 2) slender, webbed spine deep in the ambulacral groove; 1 long, blunt spine at the margin of the groove (furrow spine); a slightly shorter, more distal furrow spine; and 1–2 (rarely 3) short subambulacral spines. Each mouth plate has 1 preoral spine, 3 furrow spines, 0–1 (usually 1) suboral spine, and 1–2 spines within the groove and hidden by the furrow spines. Actinolaterals form a distinct row between the inferomarginals and adambulacrals for less than half the ray length and then become hidden by the inferomarginals; each has 0–1 spine. A few additional actinolaterals sometimes occur in a short row next to the inferomarginals, but they are confined to the disc.

Description of other material.—Three other, small specimens from Blake stations 272, 276, and 279 also are E. modestus. Even the smallest has proximal imbrication, peripheral spinules (2) on the madreporite, 1-2 dorsolaterals in transverse series, a few interbrachial intermarginals, and a row of actinolaterals. The largest specimen is less than half the size of the smallest type-specimen, but it agrees with the description above in every detail except for the following: 3 peripheral spinules on madreporite; sulci and gyri of madreporite poorly developed; number of anal spinules and nature of primary circlet undetermined; few members of the longitudinal series of dorsolaterals present; proportion of ray length occupied by dorsolaterals undetermined; intermarginals not yet imbricate; superomarginals longer than wide; inferomarginals as wide as long; mouth plates with only 2 furrow spines. Most differences probably reflect ontogenetic variation. The third specimen has many superficial characteristics of E. modestus, but the skin was not removed for closer examination. As in the type-material, the smaller specimens are progressively more robust (R:r, 3.5, 3.0, 2.9).

Clark's (1941) large specimen from Atlantis Sta. 3303 seems to be E. modestus with a few differences that might only reflect growth of the rays: the carinal series and primary circlet have more accessory ossicles; the intermarginals and actinolaterals extend $\frac{2}{3}$ the length of the ray; calcareous nodules lie free in the papular areas; rays are proportionately longer (R:r, 5.1).

Type-locality.—Southeastern Gulf of Mexico, northwest of Dry Tortugas. Discussion.—Perrier (1881) did not specifically designate the type-material of Echinaster modestus, but he gave measurements for the larger specimen from Blake Sta. 45 and published a photograph of it in 1884. He later (1884, 1894) listed specimens from Gulf of Mexico, Montserrat, St. Vincent, and Guadeloupe stations of the Blake but not the specimens from Barbados. The material examined here represents all E. modestus held by the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University. We have not seen the specimens from St. Vincent and Guadeloupe. Because of the unsettled state of systematics of Echinaster (Downey, 1973; Tortonese and Downey, 1977; Tortonese and Madsen, 1979), we have designated the larger syntype from Blake Sta. 45 as lectotype and the other syntypes (MCZ 1119 and MCZ 4668) as paralectotypes.

VOLUME 94, NUMBER 2

Our observations confirm Perrier's (1881) brief diagnosis, but his description of spination around the ray did not reveal the kinds of underlying ossicles. The type-specimens differ from Downey's (1973) description by the presence of dorsolaterals, actinolaterals, proximal imbrication, and more spines on the mouth plates; examination of some of her material confirmed that it does not belong to E. modestus. Walenkamp (1979) examined some type-specimens of E. modestus as well as new material collected from the Guyana Shelf. His description included the lack of glassy tubercles, 3 rows of actinolaterals, a long row of intermarginals, and 4 mouth spines within the furrow; these characteristics are not found in the type-material. He did not describe the arrangement of dorsolaterals, but his description of "open areas" on the aboral surface probably reflects the arrangement of dorsolaterals and agrees with the type-material. Walenkamp (1979) assigned Downey's (1973) specimens of Verrillaster spinulosus to E. modestus. Our examination of her specimens, similar in size to the lectotype, revealed that they have no glassy tubercles, too many intermarginals, and 2-3 rows of actinolaterals. The identity of Downey's specimens of V. spinulosus remains unknown. Echinaster spp. on the west coast of Florida that have been used for experimental studies cited above lack actinolaterals, have a longer, less compact series of intermarginals, have fewer dorsolaterals and oral furrow spines, and have distal imbrication (proximal ossicles overlap distal ossicles); they are not E. modestus.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. R. M. Woollacott, Ms. C. Felton, and Ms. S. Hunt, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, and Dr. D. L. Pawson and Ms. M. E. Downey, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, for technical assistance and for the loan of material in their care. Ms. A. M. Clark, British Museum (Natural History), gave helpful comments on the manuscript. This study was supported in part by NSF grant SER 77-06567.

Literature Cited

Atwood, D. G. 1973a. Larval development in the asteroid *Echinaster echinophorus*.—Biol. Bull. 144:1-11.

-. 1973b. Correlation of gamete shedding with presence of neurosecretory granules in asteroids (Echinodermata).—Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 20:347–350.

—. 1973c. Histological comparison of asteroid neurosecretory granules before, during, and after natural spawning.—Trans. Amer. Micros. Soc. 92:277–280.

-----, and J. L. Simon. 1973. Histological and histochemical analysis of neurosecretory granules in asteroids (Echinodermata).—Trans. Amer. Micros. Soc. 92:175–184.

Blake, D. B. 1978. The taxonomic position of the modern sea-star Cistina Gray, 1840.—Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 91:234-241.

-. 1980. On the affinities of three small sea-star families.—J. Nat. Hist. 14:163-182.

- Campbell, D. B., and R. L. Turner. 1979. Comparative skeletal and ossicle morphology of sibling species of *Echinaster* (Echinodermata: Asteroidea) from the west coast of Florida.—Amer. Zool. 19:1009.
- Clark, H. L. 1941. Reports on the scientific results of the Atlantis expeditions to the West Indies, under the joint auspices of the University of Havana and Harvard University. The echinoderms (other than holothurians).—Mem. Soc. Cubana Hist. Nat. 15:1-154.
- Downey, M. E. 1973. Starfishes from the Caribbean and the Gulf of Mexico.—Smithson. Contrib. Zool. 126:1-158.
- Ferguson, J. C. 1966. Mechanical responses of isolated starfish digestive glands to metabolic drugs, inhibitors and nutrients.—Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 19:259–266.
- ———. 1968. Transport of amino acids by starfish digestive glands.—Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 24:921–931.
- ———. 1970. An autoradiographic study of the translocation of amino acids by starfish.— Biol. Bull. 138:14–25.
- _____. 1974. Growth and reproduction of Echinaster echinophorus.—Fla. Sci. 37:57-60.
- ———. 1975a. The role of free amino acids in nitrogen storage during the annual cycle of a starfish.—Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 51A:341–350.
- ———. 1975b. Fatty acid and carbohydrate storage in the annual reproductive cycle of *Echinaster*.—Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 52A:585–590.
- ———. 1976. The annual cycle of fatty acid composition in a starfish.—Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 54B:249-252.
 - ——. 1980. Fluxes of dissolved amino acids between sea water and Echinaster.—Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 65A:291–295.
- Perrier, E. 1881. Reports on the results of dredging under the supervision of Alexander Agassiz, in the Gulf of Mexico, 1877-78, by the United States Coast Survey Steamer "Blake," Lieut.-Commander C. D. Sigsbee, U.S.N., commanding, and in the Caribbean Sea, 1878-79, by the U.S.C.S.S. "Blake," Commander J. R. Bartlett, U.S.N., commanding. 14. Description sommaire des Espèces nouvelles d'Astéries.—Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., Harvard 9:1-31.
 - -. 1884. Mémoire sur les étoiles de mer recueillies dans la Mer des Antilles et le Golfe du Mexique durant les expéditions de dragage faites sous la direction de M. Alexandre Agassiz.—Nouv. Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat., ser. 2, 6:127-276.
 - ____. 1894. Échinodermes. I, Stellérides.—Exped. Sci. Travailleur Talisman 3:1-432.
- Tortonese, E., and M. E. Downey. 1977. On the genera Echinaster Mueller and Troschel and Othilia Gray, and the validity of Verrillaster Downey (Echinodermata: Asteroidea).— Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 90:829–830.
- ——, and F. J. Madsen. 1979. On the specific name *Echinaster sepositus*, its validity and authorship.—Natura 70:291–294.
- Turner, R. L. 1976. Sexual difference in latent period of spawning following injection of the hormone 1-methyladenine in *Echinaster* (Echinodermata: Asteroidea).—Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 28:109–112.
- , and J. M. Lawrence. 1979. Volume and composition of echinoderm eggs: implications for the use of egg size in life-history models.—pp. 25-40 in S. E. Stancyk, ed., Belle W. Baruch Library in Marine Science, vol. 9: Reproductive Ecology of Marine Invertebrates. Univ. S. Carolina Press, Columbia.
- Verrill, A. E. 1915. Report on the starfishes of the West Indies, Florida, and Brazil, including those obtained by the Bahama Expedition from the University of Iowa in 1893.—Bull. St. Univ. Iowa 7:1-232.

Walenkamp, J. H. C. 1979. Asteroidea (Echinodermata) from the Guyana Shelf.—Zool. Verh. 170:1-97.

Department of Biological Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida 32901; (DBC) present address: Department of Zoology, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881.